1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC		
10			
11			
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA	
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE	
14	VS.	UNDER SEAL WAYMO'S MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i> NO. 14 AND DEFENDANTS'	
15 16	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	RESPONSE	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

01980-00104/9549760.1

11 | 12 |

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal information in its Motion in Limine #14 and supporting materials, as well as certain supporting materials in support of Defendants' Response. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed	Designating Party
	Under Seal	
Waymo's MIL #14	Portions highlighted in	Defendants
	blue	
Waymo's Ex. 2	Portions highlighted in	Waymo
	green	-
Waymo's Ex. 3	Entire document	Defendants
Defendants Ex. 56	Portions highlighted in	Waymo
	red	-

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

II. UBER'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Waymo seeks to seal Waymo's MIL #14 and Waymo's Ex. 3 because Defendants have designated the information confidential and/or highly confidential. Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Dec."), ¶ 3. Waymo expects Defendants to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules. *Id*.

III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of Waymo's Ex. 2 and Defendants' Ex. 56 because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets and confidential business information. *See* Cooper Dec., ¶ 4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Comme'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*,

-2-

01980-00104/9549760.1

No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to sea			
document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Confidential business			
information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. See			
Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal confidentia			
business information and trade secret information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper			
Dec. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the			
information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). Id			
Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. Id. In fact, both			
Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened			
"compelling reasons" standard for sealing. <i>Music Grp.</i> , 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; <i>Brocade</i> , 2013 WI			
211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened			
standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret and confidential business information would harm			
Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is			
consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this			
case. (See Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.			
IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>			
In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the			
above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,			
Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.			

DATED: September 13, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles Verhoeven Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

By /s/ Charles Verhoeven

-3-

01980-00104/9549760.1